

REMARKS

The undersigned, a pro-se applicant, respectfully requests that if the Examiner finds patentable subject matter disclosed in this application, but feels that Applicant's present claim is not entirely suitable, the Examiner draft one or more allowable claims for applicant.

This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the Official Action dated November 20, 2002.

The Examiner has objected to claim 1 because of informalities. Claims 1-4 have been canceled and replaced with new claim 5 in order to avoid this objection.

Further, the Examiner has rejected claims 1 and 3-4 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Muderlak et al '117.

Furthermore, the Examiner has stated that claim 2 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claims 1-4 have been canceled and replaced with new claim 5 in order to avoid this objection. However, if the new claim still does not comply with the requirement, an Examiner's amendment is earnestly solicited.

The applicant has reviewed the prior art as cited by the Examiner but not used in the rejection and believes that the new claim clearly and distinctly patentably defines over such prior art.

It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition of allowance, and such action is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

TSAY, CHYUAN-FENG
Signature

TSAY, Chyuan-Feng (February 19, 2003)